

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/749,681	12/31/2003	Bryon Paul Day	19589	2161
23556 7	590 10/07/2005		EXAMINER	
KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC. 401 NORTH LAKE STREET			MULLIS, JEFFREY C	
NEENAH, W			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
•			1711	
			DATE MAILED: 10/07/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/749,681	DAY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffrey C. Mullis	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addr	'ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the provision of the provis	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) Me, cause the application to become	VICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this com- ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju	uly 2005.		
<u> </u>	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	•	•	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	S.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		•	
4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application	•	·	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	
8) Claim(s) 1-32 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected t	o by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO	-152 .
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have bee	en received in this National St	age
application from the International Bureau	ر (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		_
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies ne	ot received.	
Attachment(s)	•		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice o	o(s)/Mail Date f-Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)		

Application/Control Number: 10/749,681

Art Unit: 1711

The following is an election of species <u>and</u> restriction between groups of inventions. In order to be fully responsive applicants should elect a species <u>and</u> also elect a single invention.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-16 and 29-32, drawn to a composition, classified in class 525, subclass 89.
- II. Claims 17-28, drawn to a method for producing films or fibers, classified in class 264, subclass 500 plus. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a process of molding bumper or other non laminate.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: applicants should choose a single species of compatibilizer by choosing one of species "1"-"6" or a single specific combination of species 1-6. If applicants elect "1" they should elect either homopolymers or copolymers.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none are generic.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,681

Art Unit: 1711

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/749,681

Art Unit: 1711

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Due to the complexity of this requirement, no telephone election was attempted.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jeffrey C. Mullis at telephone number 571 272 1075.

Jeffrey C. Mullis J Mullis Art Unit 1711

JCM

10-1-05

